(,c) if so, what further action has been taken or is being taken against them?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI BUTA SINGH): (a) to (c) The Committee consisting of Justice Dalip K. Kapur, former Chief Justice of Delhi High Court and Kam. Kusum Lata Mital. retired Secretary to the Government of India, was appointed to inquire into the delinquencies and good conduct of idividual police officers and men in Delhi, during November, 1984 riots. The Committee had given public notices asking for affidavits from the general public with reference to the terms of the Committee. The Committee received more than 300 affidavits. The report of the Committee has not yet been received. Once that is received, the same would be considered and appropriate action taken.

The Committee consisting of Justice M. L. Jain and Shri A. K. Ban-crji was appointed for examining whether there were cases of ommis-sion to register or properly investigate offences committed in Delhi during November, 1984 riots and to recommend registration of cases, where necessary, and to monitor the investigation thereof and also to follow up cases already registered by the Police and to suggest steps for effective action including fresh and further investigation, where necessary. This Committee also gave public notices inviting affidavits/documents to facilitate the enquiry. The Committee received more than 400 affidavits. The Committee sent 2 cases *to* the Delhi Police for registration. In the meantime, one of the persons against whom the police was asked to register the case, filed a writ petition in the Delhi High Court. The Delhi High Court issued ad-interim injunction on 24-11.87 restraining the Committee from making recommendations for registration of fresh cases The Court also restrained the Delhi Police from registering any case on the directions/orders of the Committee. In view of the injunction issued by the Delhi High Court, no case has been registered so far on the directions of **the** Committee.

Seizure of Heroin in Amritsar

370. SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH MALIK;
SHRIM ATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY.

Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the news-item which appeared in- 'the Times of India' of the 23rd July, 1988 to the effect that heroin worth Rs. 20 crores has been seized in Amritsar**;**

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) whether any arrests have been made in this connection and if so, what action has been taken by Government against the guilty persons?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI AJIT PANJA**):** (a) to (c) Yes, Sir. The details of the seizures referred to in the news-item arc given below.

On 19-7-1988, the Border Security Force at BOP, Pallopatti No. 144/18 challenged some intrudres coming from Pakistan and resorted to firing. However, the intruders fled back to Pakistan throwing away their head-loads which, on search, were found to be 10 packets of heroin of one kilogram each along with Pakistan currency of Rs. 10.

In a second operation acting on a tip-off, the Border Security Force and Directorate of Revenue, Intelligence, Amristar, in a joint operation searched the residential permises of one Shingara Singh of Mullan Beh-ram village (Amritsar district)) on

21-7-1988 and seized ten packets of brown powder (heroin) weighing 1.020 kgs. each (total gross weight 10.200 kgs.). The packets were re covered after digging a pit in a cor ner of a kacha room containing wheat straw. Interrogation of Shin-gara Singh revealed that the seized drug was to be delivered to one Pri-thipal Singh (alias) Pirthi of Amris-sar district. On further revelation by Shingara Singh, the residential premises of his nephew, Gurbhej Singh were searched on 22-7-1988 but nothing waa recovered. He, how ever, admitted that he was acting as a middleman between Pirthipal Singh and Shingara Singh. Both Shingara Singh and Gurbhej Singh were arrested and remanded to judicial custody.

The value of the drugs seized cannot be determined as it depends upon the purity of the drug and varies from place to place, local demand and supply position, etc.

कपड़े के उत्पादन में कमी

*371. **श्री राम जेठमलानी :**
श्री राम नरेश यादव :

क्या वस्त्र मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि पिछले तीन महीने से देश में कपड़ा मिलों में कपड़े के उत्पादन की मात्रा में कमी होती जा रही है और यदि हां, तो इस संबंध में ब्यौरा क्या है ;

(ख) क्या उत्पादन में कमी होने के कारण मिल के कपड़े के मूल्यों में वृद्धि होने की कोई संभावना है ; और

(ग) यदि हां, तो क्या सरकार ने इस मूल्य वृद्धि को रोकने तथा कपड़े के उत्पादन को बढ़ाने के लिए कोई प्रभावी कदम उठाए हैं और यदि हां, तो उनका ब्यौरा क्या है ?

वस्त्र मंत्री (श्री राम निवास मिर्धा) : (क) मिल क्षेत्र द्वारा कपड़े के उत्पादन की मात्रा में 1981-82 से गिरावट आती रही है । यह प्रवृत्ति चालू वित्तीय वर्ष के पहले तीन महीनों में जारी रही । अप्रैल-जून, 1988 के दौरान मिल क्षेत्र में 711 मिलियन मीटर उत्पादन हुआ जबकि पिछले वर्ष की उसी अवधि के दौरान 775 मिलियन मीटर उत्पादन हुआ था ।

(ख) जी नहीं ।

(ग) प्रश्न नहीं उठता ।

Market Borrowings

*372. PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that market borrowings during the first four years of the Seventh Plan by the Union Government have reached 75.5 per cent level as against 67.5 per cent envisaged in the Plan;

(b) if so what are the details of borrowings; and

(c) what steps are proposed to be taken to adhere to the limits envisaged in the Plan formulation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EXPENDITURE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI B. K. GADHVI); *(a)* and (b) A statement is laid on the Table of the House. *(See* below):

(c) The reduction in the State's share of oPen market borrowings is being fully compensated by other Central transfer of funds to States.